

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DAT	ГЕ	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/611,633	07/01/2003		Yingjian Chen	· XYNANO-2	· 7738
75	90 08/	08/2005		EXAM	INER
YINGЛAN C		REIFSNYDE	REIFSNYDER, DAVID A		
1123 WISTERIA DRIVE FREMONT, CA 94539				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1723		

DATE MAILED: 08/08/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/611,633	CHEN ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	David A. Reifsnyder	1723
The MAILING DATE of this communi Period for Reply	cation appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOTHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30). If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum states are reply within the set or extended period for reply within the set or extended period for reply any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply unication. of days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 tutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH- will, by statute, cause the application to become ABAN	y be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this communication. IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) file	d on <u>02 <i>Jun</i>e 2005</u> .	
· ·	b)☐ This action is non-final.	
	for allowance except for formal matters te under <i>Ex parte Quayl</i> e, 1935 C.D. 1	•
Disposition of Claims	e under Ex parte Quayre, 1905 C.D. 1	11, 400 O.G. 210.
	policotico	
 4) Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are pending in the agent 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>18-24</u> is/are 	•	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	s withdrawn from Consideration.	
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) 1-17 are subject to restriction	n and/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) accepted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objec	tion to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	the correction is required if the drawing(s)	is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner. Note the attached C	Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim f a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		19(a)-(d) or (f).
1. Certified copies of the priority of		
<u> </u>	documents have been received in App	
•	of the priority documents have been re	ceived in this National Stage
application from the Internation * See the attached detailed Office action	•	reived
Goo the dilactica actailed Office action	. 15. a list of the contined copies not re-	
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sum	nmary (PTO-413)
2) 🔲 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P1	FO-948) Paper No(s)/N	Mail Date
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or F Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of infor 6) ☐ Other:	rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)

M

Application/Control Number: 10/611,633 Page 2

Art Unit: 1723

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Applicant's Election/Restriction Election

Applicant's election <u>without</u> traverse of Invention I in the reply filed on June 2, 2005 is acknowledged.

Claims 18-24 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected Invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on June 2, 2005.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-8, drawn to a method of creating a plurality of magnetic nanotubes, each magnetic nanotube having a first portion and a second portion; magnetically aligning the magnetic nanotubes on a grid; and removing the second portions, classified in class 148, subclass 108.
- II. Claims 9-13 drawn to a method of magnetically filtering magnetic nanotubes, classified in class 210, subclass 695.
- III. Claims 14-17, drawn to a method of dispersing a plurality of magnetic nanotubes into a solution; coating the solution containing the magnetic nanotubes on a wafer; and magnetically arranging the magnetic nanotubes on the wafer, classified in class 438, subclass 99.

Application/Control Number: 10/611,633 Page 3

Art Unit: 1723

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are unrelated independent and distinct methods. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the unrelated independent and distinct methods have different functions. Invention I is a method of creating a plurality of magnetic nanotubes, while Invention II is a method of magnetically filtering magnetic nanotubes, the magnetic nanotubes filtered by the method of Invention II do not have to be the magnetic nanotubes created by the method of Invention I.

Inventions I and III are unrelated independent and distinct inventions. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the unrelated independent and distinct methods have different functions. Invention I is a method of creating a plurality of magnetic nanotubes, while Invention III is a method of dispersing a plurality of magnetic nanotubes into a solution; coating the solution containing the magnetic nanotubes on a wafer; and magnetically arranging the magnetic nanotubes on the wafer, the magnetic nanotubes arranged on the wafer by the method of Invention III do not have to be the magnetic nanotubes created by the method of Invention I.

Art Unit: 1723

Inventions II and III are unrelated independent and distinct inventions. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the unrelated independent and distinct methods have different functions. Invention II is a method of magnetically filtering magnetic nanotubes, while Invention III is a method of dispersing a plurality of magnetic nanotubes into a solution; coating the solution containing the magnetic nanotubes on a wafer; and magnetically arranging the magnetic nanotubes on the wafer, the magnetic nanotubes arranged on the wafer by the method of Invention III do not have to be the magnetic nanotubes filtered by the method of Invention II.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

Application/Control Number: 10/611,633 Page 5

Art Unit: 1723

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David A. Reifsnyder whose telephone number is (571) 272-1145. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00 AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wanda M. Walker can be reached on (571) 272-1151. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-272-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

David A Reifsnyder Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1723